

Evidence of co-flow superfluid and counter-flow superfluid from directional current-current correlation functions of two-species hard-core bosons in one dimension

Ji-Woo Lee*

Department of Physics, Myongji University, Yongin 17052, Korea

Min-Chul Cha

Department of Photonics and Nanoelectronics, Hanyang University, Ansan 15588, Korea

(Dated: March 30, 2017)

We study a model for two-species hard-core bosons in one dimension. In this model, the same-species bosons have hard-core condition, while the different-species bosons are allowed to occupy the same site with a local interaction U . At half-filling, it has been reported that the model exhibits a quantum phase transition from a co-flow superfluid to a charge-density wave in attractive local-interaction region and that from a counter-flow superfluid to a Mott insulator in repulsive local-interaction region. By using an exact diagonalization method adopting modified Lanczos method, we obtain the ground states as a function of U . We also calculate the ground-state energies using a time-evolution-block-decimation method with matrix product states for an infinite chain and compare the signature of quantum phase transitions of the infinite chain with that of finite lattices. We calculate directional current-current correlation functions, which indicate a counter-flow superfluid or a co-flow superfluid.

PACS numbers: 74.78.-w, 74.40.Kb, 73.43.Nq

I. INTRODUCTION

Investigating quantum many-body systems with strong correlations is one of the most interesting research topic in condensed matter physics. Also, in atomic physics, trapping quantum particles within an optical lattice enable researchers to study the collective behavior of quantum particles in a more controllable way. After the Bose-Einstein condensation of ultra cold atoms is achieved¹ researchers pursued other possible quantum many-body states. Greiner *et al.* built a system of bosons in a two-dimensional optical lattice and observed a quantum phase transition between Mott-insulator (MI) and superfluid (SF)², which has long been studied theoretically by many physicists³. Recently, the researchers achieved making artificial Kagome lattice to employ the lattice frustration into quantum many-body systems⁴. Since one can build artificial lattices in one, two, or three dimensions at hand, the quantum particles can be used as a simulator of the models of many-body quantum systems with a long history, such as Hubbard model, boson Hubbard model, *etc.*

Two-species bosonic systems are also experimentally realized⁵ with ⁸⁷Rb atoms because alkali atoms may have many internal states possible. Many exotic phases were reported by numerous authors⁶⁻⁹, which are especially related to paired bosons with nearest neighbors such as valence-bond states. This is because bosons have many degrees of interaction between them and there are many possible fillings of interacting bosons. The interesting phases at zero temperature are the counter-flow and co-flow superfluid. When the interactions between different species bosons are repulsive and relatively small than the kinetic energy of bosons, overall superfluidity is zero but each species bosons nonzero superfluidity. Also, when the interactions are attractive and relatively small, overall superfluidity is doubled because the bosons are paired and move together. But it is very hard to measure directly a quantity which can be used for distinguish whether the bosons

are moving in opposite directions or in the same direction.

In this paper, we study a minimal model of two-species bosons in the finite lattices in one dimension at zero temperature. For the two species, we denote them as a and b boson. To restrict the Hilbert space for exact diagonalization, we impose a hardcore condition for each species. Therefore the possible quantum states for a single site are $|0\rangle, |a\rangle, |b\rangle, |(ab)\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ denotes the vacuum state. Here, $|(ab)\rangle$ state will have the interacting term U . The locally interacting term U between different species bosons at the same site can be either attractive and repulsive. The model can be regarded as the bosonic version of Hubbard model in one dimension. Especially, at half filling, the model has three quantum phases at zero temperature: a superfluid, Mott insulator, and paired charge density wave. When U is positively large, the system behaves like an insulator, which is called Mott insulator. The system is also an insulator when U is negatively large because the paired bosons hinders the movement of other pairs and the phase is called paired charge density wave. When U is negatively small, the system is a superfluid and there exist a dragging by the different species so that the system is called a co-flow superfluid. While, U is positively small, one species repulse the other so that the system is counter-flow superfluid.

This paper is organized as follows: In the following Section II, we present our model of interacting two-species hard-core boson model with local interaction U . Section III shows the ground-state energies we obtained by using an exact diagonalization method and the derivatives of ground-state energies to show the signature of quantum phase transitions. We also calculated the ground state energies with recently developed time-evolution-block decimation method of matrix product states of the same Hamiltonian in Section IV. This clearly demonstrates the bosonic Hubbard model has a quantum phase transition from superfluid to insulators at finite value of U , which is different from the fermionic Hubbard model. In Section V, we suggest that we can distinguish the

co-flow and counter-flow superfluid by measuring directional current-current correlation functions. These functions show that in the positive U region, the count-flow correlation is always larger than that of the co-flow correlation. For the negative U region, the co-flow correlation is always larger than that of the counter-flow correlation and the difference is exactly the same as that for symmetric value $|U|$ because one can map the one species boson to hole representation and the hole is acting as repulsive boson in the case of $U > 0$. In Section VI, we discuss our results and future research topic.

II. MODEL

The model we study in this work is a model for two-species bosons which are distinguished by the indices a and b in one-dimensional lattice with periodic boundary condition at half-filling. Therefore, the number of a (b) bosons is the half the lattice size. Each species has a hard-core condition so that the possible number of each boson species in each site is restricted to 0 or 1. If there is no interaction between a and b , the system can be regarded as two separate bosonic systems. We introduce a local interaction U between a and b boson. In this sense, this model is a minimal interacting model of a two-species bosonic system.

The Hamiltonian is written as follows:

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma=a,b} (b_{i\sigma}^\dagger b_{j\sigma} + H.c.) + U \sum_i n_{ia} n_{ib}, \quad (1)$$

where $b_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ ($b_{i\sigma}$) is the creation (destruction) operator at site i with a species index of $\sigma (= a \text{ or } b)$, and $n_{i\sigma} \equiv b_{i\sigma}^\dagger b_{i\sigma}$. Here t is the hopping energy between nearest neighbors, and U is on-site Coulomb interaction energy between a and b when they occupy the same site i . In the following, the energies are scaled as we set $t = 1$.

The only changing parameter in this model is the local interaction between two species bosons. It is interesting to note that this model has a similarity to Hubbard model if one regards boson species as electron spins, although this model has a fundamental difference because the particles have a different symmetry. It was always questionable that the model will have a finite U quantum phase transition at zero temperature. The fermionic Hubbard model becomes Mott insulator as we turn on $U > 0$. The metallic phase is possible only when $U = 0$. While, the bosons are superfluid at $U = 0$ and it holds its properties up to a finite value of $U > 0$. Also, for two-species bosons, it was reported that the bosons have two kind of superfluid, which are co-flow and counter-flow superfluid. For the perfect counter-flow, the overall superfluidity will disappear but for the imperfect counter-flow, the system will be a weak superfluid. For attractive bosons, they will form a co-flow superfluid.




FIG. 1: (color online) Ground-state energies of two-species hard-core Hamiltonian (Eq. (1)) as a function of U for $L = 4, 8, 12$, and 16 by using exact diagonalizations. The step in U is 0.02 so that the data points are dense enough to look like a line.

III. GROUND-STATE ENERGY AND DOUBLE OCCUPANCY

The system we study is at half filling. The number of a -boson and that of b -boson are both $L/2$ where L is the system size and takes even number for convenience. We adopted a modified Lanczos method¹⁰ to calculate the ground state and the ground state energy up to $L = 16$. Because there are 4 possible state in each site, the dimension of Hilbert space for $L = 16$ is $({}_{16}C_8)^2 = (12,870)^2 = 165,636,900$. Obtaining the diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian is straightforward. But the tricky part is obtaining the offdiagonal elements by t term in Eq. (1). There exists an easy way to calculate t term due to the fact that one species does not interfere with the configuration of the other species. We adopted a multi-core parallel programming method by OPENMP to obtain the ground state¹¹. We used computing resources of 39GB memory of 12-thread Xeon 2.4GHz CPU and it took 1 day to obtain a single point for $L = 16$.

In Figure 1, we show the ground-state energy E_0 as a function of U for the lattice sizes, $L = 4, 8, 12$, and 16 . For the negative U , the two boson species tends to stick together at a local site because of the attraction between different species. As one can notice, as $U \rightarrow \infty$, the energy goes to 0 since each bosons will occupy every other sites alternately. Because the bosons are frozen at local sites, the energy becomes zero even though there exist hopping energies.

Figure 2 shows the ground-state energies per site ($\epsilon_0 \equiv E_0/L$) as a function of U . Interestingly, the curves are almost collapsed for $L = 8, 12$, and 16 . This results show that our exact diagonalization calculation is reliable. Especially, for $U = 0$, we know that exact number by the formula,

$$2 \times \left(\sum_{i=\pm 2, \pm 4, \dots} -2 \cos \frac{i\pi}{L} \right) \quad (2)$$

so that $\epsilon_0(L = 16) = -1.281457724$. The value of our calcu-




FIG. 2: (color online) The ground-state energies per site ($\epsilon_0 \equiv E_0/L$) as a function of U for $L=4, 8, 12$, and 16 . The step for U is 0.02 . The curves are almost collapsed to a single line as we increase the system size.

lation is -1.28145767145 for $L = 16$. We truncated the exact diagonalization at the accuracy of $O(10^{-7})$.

We can define a double occupancy as

$$\langle \frac{1}{L} \sum_i n_{ia} n_{ib} \rangle. \quad (3)$$

We obtained the double occupancy by differentiating the ground-state energy with respect to U . Figure 3 shows the double occupancy as a function of U . The double occupancy falls from 0.5 to 0 as we increase U . In the middle of U , the double occupancy shows a linear behavior and we believe that this region corresponds to a superfluid phase.




FIG. 3: (color online) Double occupancy of two-species bosons. When U is negatively large, the half of the lattice size is filled with two bosons so that the double occupancy becomes 0.5 . When U is positively large, the bosons are located alternately so that the double occupancy becomes 0 . In the middle around $U = 0$, the double occupancy is a linear function and collapse to a single curve. This region corresponds to a superfluid phase.




FIG. 4: (color online) Derivatives of double occupancy as a function of U . As the system size increases, the local minima decreases but the local maximum at $U = 0$ remains near the value of -0.0339 .

Figure 4 shows the derivatives of double occupancy as a function of U . Interestingly, the graph shows two local minima and a local maximum. As one can see clearly, the $|U|$ values of local minima decrease as we increase the system size. Even though we cannot specify the transition point in the infinite lattice, it is possible to specify U values for the minima. For $L = 16$, the minima occur at $U = \pm 2.50$. We should note that the minima do not go to negative infinity as in the case of the second-order phase transition and the values of derivatives of double occupancy are relatively small. Though, we believe that this is a signature of quantum phase transition. For the infinite lattice, $U = \pm 2$ will be the critical points which divide the insulating phase and the superfluid phase. It is reported that this small signal of local minima are the signature of Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) transitions and the quantum phase transition occurs at the Heisenberg point¹².

IV. COMPARISON WITH TEBD RESULTS ON MATRIX PRODUCT STATES

In this Section, we obtained the derivative of double occupancy for an infinite lattice by using a tensor network state. We construct a matrix product state (MPS)¹³ and make the MPS evolve by acting $e^{-\epsilon H_1}$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\{\vec{p}\}, \{\vec{\alpha}\}} \Gamma_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^{p_1} \lambda_{\alpha_2} \Gamma_{\alpha_2 \alpha_3}^{p_2} \cdots \Gamma_{\alpha_n \alpha_1}^{p_n} \lambda_{\alpha_n} |p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n\rangle \quad (4)$$

where $\Gamma_{\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}}^{p_i}$ denotes a tensor representing a local quantum number p_i , and λ_{α_i} denotes the bond connection between i and $i+1$ site. The Hamiltonian H_1 is defined by

$$H_1 = -t \sum_{i, \sigma=a,b} (b_{i+1}^\dagger b_i + H.c.) + \sum_i U (n_{ia} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{ib} - \frac{1}{2}). \quad (5)$$

The important physics by this matrix product state is that it can mimic the infinite lattice system variationally. If one




FIG. 5: (color online) Derivatives of double occupancy as a function of U from TEBD calculation with matrix product states. For comparison, we also included the exact diagonalization results for $L = 16$. As the bond dimension (χ) increases, the points for local minima approaches to ± 2 , which are the Heisenberg points.

evolve an MPS state with corresponding Hamiltonian operator, $e^{-\epsilon H_1}$ where ϵ is a small positive number, the MPS state will converge to the MPS state which will have the corresponding coefficient information of the ground state. In our work, we set $\epsilon = 0.01$. One should note that, for this evolution (TEBD), we should use a grand canonical ensemble since there is no restriction on the number of particles in Eq. (4). For example, we obtained the ground state energy for infinite lattice at $U = 0$. The analytic value is -1.273239547 and our TEBD calculation gives -1.27192851226 when $\chi = 20$. In Figure 5, we show the TEBD results of derivatives of double occupancy as a function of U for $\chi = 4, 10$, and 20 where χ is the bond dimension of λ' s. For comparison, we also show the ED results for $L = 16$. As χ increases, U value of minima approaches to ± 2.0 , which we discussed in Section III. We also note that the derivatives behaves similarly to our ED results for small U region and large U region. This means that the TEBD gives more reliable results in critical region, but ED results also show the signature of quantum phase transitions correctly.

V. DIRECTIONAL CURRENT-CURRENT CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

As we explained in Section I, it is not an easy task to distinguish the co-flow superfluid and the counter-flow superfluid. In this Section, we develop directional current-current correlation functions that will distinguish the co-flow and counter-flow superfluid. The co-flow current-current correlation function is defined as

$$C_{RR} = \langle \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{jk} j_R(i) j_R(k) \rangle \quad (6)$$

or

$$C_{LL} = \langle \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{jk} j_L(i) j_L(k) \rangle \quad (7)$$

where $j_R(i) = b_{i+1}^\dagger b_i$ which is right-moving current and $j_L(i) = b_i^\dagger b_{i+1}$ which is left-moving current. By using the symmetry of the system, $C_{RR} = C_{LL}$ and we define $C_{co} \equiv C_{RR}$. The counter-flow current-current correlation function is defined as

$$C_{RL} = \langle \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{jk} j_R(i) j_L(k) \rangle \quad (8)$$

or

$$C_{LR} = \langle \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{jk} j_L(i) j_R(k) \rangle \quad (9)$$

and $C_{counter} \equiv C_{RL} = C_{LR}$. Here, we note that $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the expectation value with respect to the ground state. In general, the current-current correlation function is defined as the correlation between the net current at i and j , we found that this is exactly zero because the left and right moving currents are both counted.

In Figure 6, we show the C_{RR} as a function of U for $L = 4, 8, 12$, and 16 . For $U > 0$, the co-flow correlation function goes to 0 as U increases because $C_{RR}(-U) = C_{RL}(U)$ by the symmetry. In Figure 7, we show the C_{RL} as a function of U . The counter-flow correlation function seems to decrease very slowly as U increases. We believe that the counter-flow correlation goes to 0 when $U \rightarrow \infty$.

If we subtract C_{RR} from C_{RL} ($C_{counter} - C_{co}$), we obtain Figure 8, which clearly shows for $U > 0$, the counter-flow correlation function is always larger than co-flow correlation function and for $U < 0$, the co-flow correlation function is always larger than the counter-flow correlation function. With these new quantity that can distinguish co-flow and counter-flow superfluid, the overall superfluidity can be divided correctly with the counter-flow superfluid when $U > 0$ and the co-flow superfluid when $U < 0$.

VI. SUMMARY

In this paper, we tried to find a signature of quantum phase transition of a two-species hard-core bosons in one dimension. The ground state of this model is obtained with the exact diagonalization method for $L = 4, 8, 12$ and 16 . We found a reasonable behavior of double occupancies and found a signature of KT transition around $U = \pm 2.5$ for $L = 16$. We also obtained the derivatives of double occupancy with TEBD method for infinite lattice which showed a signature of quantum phase transition at $U = \pm 2.0$ which are the Heisenberg points. With the double occupancy, we found that the system from charge density wave insulator to co-flow superfluid in the negative U region. The charge density wave insulator




FIG. 6: (color online) Co-flow current-current correlation functions ($C_{co} = C_{RL}$) as a function of U for $L=4, 8, 12$, and 16 . The step of U is 0.1 . We chose sparse data points from the ground states we obtained with the step of 0.02 in U because the calculation time is large when $L = 16$. For $U > 0$, the co-flow current-current correlation function goes to 0 as we increase U .




FIG. 7: (color online) Counter-flow current-current correlation functions ($C_{counter} = C_{RR}$) as a function of U for $L=4, 8, 12$, and 16 . The step of U is 0.1 . We chose sparse data points from the ground states we obtained with the step of 0.02 in U because the calculation time is large when $L = 16$. For $U > 0$, the counter-flow current-current correlation function decreases very slowly as we increase U .

when U is negatively large has both a and b bosons at a local site, and the ground state is

$$|\Psi(U < -2.0)\rangle = |(ab) \ 0 \ (ab) \ 0 \dots\rangle. \quad (10)$$

For the positively large U , the system is a Mott insulator in which the a and b bosons occupy alternately, such as

$$|\Psi(U > 2.0)\rangle = |a \ b \ a \ b \dots\rangle. \quad (11)$$

For $-2.0 < U < 0$, the system is a co-flow superfluid and is a counter-flow superfluid for $0 < U < 2.0$. We found that one can distinguish two superfluid with the directional current-current correlation functions. The counter-flow

current-current correlation function is larger than co-flow correlation function for $U > 0$ and the co-flow correlation function is larger than the counter-flow correlation function for $U < 0$. We believe that this new findings can be used in the optical lattice experiments to distinguish the co-flow and counter-flow state of superfluids.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technology (NRF-2012R1A1A2006113). JWL thanks the Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS) for its hospitality under the Associate Member program. JWL thanks R. T. Scalettar for the support in staying in UC Davis in 2013. JWL also thanks M. H. Chung for his stimulating discussions.




FIG. 8: (color online) Difference of $C_{counter}$ and C_{co} for $-10 < U < 10$ for $L = 16$. The positive value indicates that the system is a counter-flow superfluid and the negative value indicates that the system is a co-flow superfluid. The correlation remains in the insulating phase for $|U| > 2.5$ but we believe that this correlation goes to 0 as we increase U to ∞ .

-
- * Electronic address: jwlee@mju.ac.kr; Fax: +82-31-335-7248
- ¹ M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, *Science* **269** (5221), 198 (1995).
 - ² M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, *Nature* **415**, 39-44 (2002).
 - ³ M. P. A. Fisher, P. B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and D. S. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 546 (1989)
 - ⁴ G.-B. Jo, J. Guzman, C. K. Thomas, P. Hosur, A. Vishwanath, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 045305 (2012)
 - ⁵ O. Mandel, M. Greiner, A. Widera, T. Rom, T. W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, *Nature* **425**, 937 (2003).
 - ⁶ E. Altman, W. Hofstetter, E. Demler, and M. D Lukin, *New J of Physics* **5**, 113 (2003).
 - ⁷ A. Kuklov, N. Prokof'ev, and B Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 050402 (2004).
 - ⁸ A. Isacsson, M.-C. Cha, K. Sengupta, and S. M. Girvin, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 184507 (2005).
 - ⁹ Y. Kuno, K. Kataoka, and I. Ichinose, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 014518 (2013).
 - ¹⁰ E. R. Gagliano, E. Dagotto, A. Moreo, and F. C. Alcaraz, *Phys. Rev. B* **34**, 1677 (1986); *Phys. Rev. B* **35**, 5297 (1987).
 - ¹¹ <http://www.openmp.org>.
 - ¹² J.-G. Shin and M.-C. Cha, *J. Kor. Phys. Soc.* **56**, 986989 (2010).
 - ¹³ G. Vidal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 147902 (2003).